

# Topics

- Web GIS Visualization
- Big Data GIS Performance
- Maps in Data Visualization Platforms

## Next:

#### Web GIS Visualization

- Big Data GIS Performance
- Maps in Data Visualization Platforms

# Web GIS Visualization Platforms

- Claud-based mapping platforms e.g. ArcGIS Online
- Maps created on desktop & loaded on the cloud
- Self-service capabilities and ready-to-use maps
- Include spatial analytics capabilities
- Possibilities for customization

# Visualization in Maps

- Smart mapping
  - relevant information at appropriate scale
- Vector Tiles
  - high-performance
  - re-styled to work in any map
- 3D
  - add context to the story





# **Custom Web GIS Visualization**

- More flexible
- Mix and match various technologies
- Integrate with relational and other databases
- But require more time to build

 Florida Signal Four Analytics – a web-based GIS centric crash data system



# **Crash and Traffic Citations Database**

# Over 10 million crashes & citations



## Street Network– Florida Unified Basemap





# **Chart Attributes**

Attributes:	•	]
Day of Woo	Day of Week	ĺ
	Time of Day	<u> </u>
Su	Month of Year	
Mo	Year	
Tu	Weather Condition	
-	Crash Type	
We	Light Condition	
Th	Crash Severity	
-	Form Type	
Fr	Alcohol Related	
Sa	Road Surface Condition	
0 20	County	0
	/ City	
	Agency	
	First Harmful Event	
	Direction of Travel	

<u>ы</u> Bar Chart	🌍 Pie Chart	🔥 2D	Chart	
Attribute 1:	Crash Type 🔻	Attribu	ute 2: C	Trash Severity •
	Crash Type			<b>NDO</b>
	Crash Severity			PDO
Angle –	Day of Week			<u>12</u>
Bicycle –	Time of Day			1
Head On	Year			Ţ
	Weather Conditio	n		1
Left Turn –	Light Condition			32
Off Road –	Road Surface Cor	ndition		<u>12</u>
	Direction of Trave	el		
Other —	<u> </u>			40
Pedestrian —	2			
Rear End —	55			144
Right Turn —	2			
Rollover —	2			
Sideswipe –	5			36
Unknown —				1









































Signal Four Analytics × +							đ	×
https://s4.geoplan.ufl.edu/analytics/#/Views/CrashAnalysis	sMainPage.xaml	<b>୯</b>	Search	☆ 自	◙	↓ ⋒	Ø	≡
SIGNAL FOUR ANALYTICS	Crash Analysis	Network Analysis			Subm	it Feedb	a <i>ck</i> ilii	•
				🚓 Crash Pro	ofile			
	Done Lon SR-26 2 1400 SR-26 2 1500 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	1200 1299 W University Ave 441 5 441 441 441 441 441 441	Cartographic	Reporting A All Date/Time Date Range 0.4/16/201 Day of Wee 0.4/16/201 Day of Wee 0.4/16/201 Day of Wee 0.4/16/201 Day of Wee 0.4/16/201 Day of Wee 0.4/16/201 Day of Wee 0.4/16/201 County 0.4/16/201 0.	Agency e 6 - 4/23 k ic Area ic Area i Area i i Area i i Area i i Area i Area i	a t nused Filt	Save	









# **Network Analysis**

🛃 Signal Four Analytics 🛛 🗙 🕂

σX



# **Network Analysis Filters**





Network Features							
Show	Тор 5 🔹 🔻	Intersection	•				
Inters	All	250 ▼ Feet					
	Top 5						
le Inc	Top 10	itersections					
Ranke	Top 25	count	•				
	Top 50						
Minimi	Top 100	int 10	_				
Roadway Classification							
Roadway Classification       • All							

# **Network Analysis Filters**

Network Features	Network Features	Roadw
Show Top 5 Intersection Intersection Offset Segment Include Ramp Intersections	Show Top 5  Intersection Intersection Offset 250 Feet Include Ramp Intersections	Roadw ■ All ■ Inte
Ranked By Crash count	Ranked By Crash count  Crash count	U.S.
Minimum Crash Count 10	Minimum Cr Crash severity Roadway	Cou Loca
Roadway Classification	Roadway ( • AllFatal & Incapacitating Bike/Ped Crashes	Othe



🛃 Signal Four Analytics 🛛 🗙 🕂

o ×



 $\times$ X Signal Four Analytics + D https://s4.geoplan.ufl.edu/analytics/#/Views/FeatureAnalysisMainPage.xaml C **Q** Search ☆ 自 V 44 SIGNAL FOUR ANALYTICS **Crash Analysis Network Analysis** Submit Feedback 🛛 ilir 🔻 NW 13th Ave NW 12th Rd NW 12th Ave 3 Cartographic NW 11th Rd 28 Date Range 6 W NW 8th Ave NW 8th Ave R-26E 20 WN NW 7th PI NW 7th Ln Date Range NW 6th Av NW 4th PI NW 4th 2011 • To 2015 • NW 4th PI NW 3rd PI MN NW 3rd Ave NW 2nd Ave W University Ave W University Ave SR-24 **Geographic Area** Gainesv W Newberry Rd **Geographic Extent** SW 42ni 41st St stadium Ro 40th SW 5th PI SW 5th Ave SW 6th PI SW 7th PI County Peens Rd SW 9th PI SW 10th PI Radio Rd SE 100 SW 13th **Network Features** SW 20th Ave Hull Rd SW14th Ave Show Top 5 SW 17th Ave -Intersection -226 441 SW 16th Ave SR-226 SW 19th Ave SW 19# PJ SR-226V SW 20th Ave Intersection Offset 250 ▼ Feet sw SW 21st Ave 2km Include Ramp Intersections SW 24th Ave SW SW 24th Ave SW 25th P Ranked By Crash count ¥ 1mi N 30th Ave Minimum Crash Count 10 Crash Severity Crash Rate Fatal Crashes Injury Crashes **PDO Crashes** Bike/Ped Crashes Vehicles City Fatal & Incape Damages County 1.81 n/a 0 6 68 185 8 516 \$587,251 Gainesville Alachua **Roadway Classification** 5 82 Alachua 2.13 n/a 0 136 13 \$462,512 Gainesville 444 **Roadway Classification** n/a 0 39 173 5 \$680,866 Unincorporated Alachua 1.55 0 444 123 2 2.11 n/a 0 6 72 437 \$999,435 Gainesville Alachua 1.96 n/a 0 129 2 \$637,631 Gainesville Alachua 6 61 404 • Showing: All(5) / Mapped(5) /Selected(0) 📀 Search X Clear Intersection Crashes





# Extract data out of the system

Signal Four Analytics × +								—	D	×
🗲 🗰 🌢 🛛 https://s4.geoplan.ufl.edu/analytics/#/Views/FeatureAn	alysisMainPage.xaml		C C	🞗 Search		z	ነ 🖻 🛡	+	r 9	
HASIGNAL FOUR ANALYTICS	Crash Analy	vsis Netw	ork Analysis				Su	bmit Fee	dback	ilir 🔻
📶 Bar Chart 🌍 Pie Chart 🗟 2D Chart		Val	Kun )		S-Arman P. A.	F a st	and the	all the	Aerial	
Attribute 1: Crash Type   Attribute 2: Crash Severity										
Injury PDO Backed Into					200-2					
Head On 1 1					0	1/1				
Left Entering					♥ /∧					
Left Leaving		Ser. Star		6	8 3 4 A		N	3 12		
Opposing Sideswipe			Contraction of the second	2 2	122/1	A STATE	- Contraction	i And		
Other34				3	** ///	AK:	6 site		in the	
Parked Vehicle		1 Lacor	· ///-			Refer 2	and the	ier als		<b>Walku</b> e
Pedestrian	N				5112		An Call			
Rear End 17 37			300ft				PL.	1 st	ÿ.	R
Right Angle	HSMV Report #	Agency Report #	Reporting Agency	Form Type	Crash Date	SI Crash Time	City	County	Crash 9	Stre
Same Direction Sideswipe216	<b>1 1 8</b> 4425366	0214006464	Gainesville PD	Short	4/5/2014	12:58 PM	Gainesville	Alachua	SR-121	
Single Vehicle1	84702638	0214007188	Gainesville PD	Long	4/15/2014	11:11 AM	Gainesville	Alachua	SW 341	TH S
Unknown 3 3	86281603	0215021685	Gainesville PD	Long	11/8/2015	3:00 PM	Gainesville	Alachua	SW ARG	CHEI
Ţ Ţ										•
	🔚 🔥 Showing: All	(97) / Mapped(97) ,	/Selected(0)				Re	etrieved 9	<b>7</b> (97 Ma	pped)
	ntorsection Crashes									

I III → · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	REVIEW VIEW	S	s4_crash_csv - E	ixcel					?	Ilir Bejleri →	<
Calibri $11 \rightarrow A^{*} A^{*} \equiv =$ Copy $\rightarrow$ B       I $U \rightarrow \square \rightarrow A^{*} A^{*} \equiv =$ B       I $U \rightarrow \square \rightarrow A^{*} A^{*} \equiv =$	Image: System     Image: System       Image: System     Image: System	Gene Center • \$ •	eral · % ∮ (€.0 .0 · 00 →	<ul> <li>Conditional Formatting</li> </ul>	Format as	s Cell Styles •	Insert Delete Forma	∑ AutoSum ▼ A         ↓ Fill ▼         Z         OutoSum ▼ A         ↓ Fill ▼         Z         OutoSum ▼ A         ↓ Fill ▼         Z         Filt	rt & Find & err * Select *		
Clipboard 🖙 Font 🔩	Alignment	E.	Number	E.	Styles		Cells	Editing		1	•
L - : 🗙 🗸 $f_x$ Agency_Report_Num	er										,
	1	М	N	0	D	0	D	c	т		
Intersecting Street Offset Distant	ce Offset Direction	Crash Type	Vehicles No	n Motorists	F Fatalities			J Distraction Related	Drug Related	Estimated D	_
SW ARCHER RD	30 North	Other	2	0	0	0	N	N	N	Estimated_D	
SW ARCHER RD	50 South	Other	2	0	0	0	N	N	N		
STATEROAD 121 SOUTHWEST 34TH STREET	50 West	Unknown	2	0	0	0	N	N	N		
SR 24 (SW ARCHER RD)	60 South	Unknown	2	0	0	0	N	N	Ν		
SW 34TH ST	0	Unknown	2	0	0	0	N	N	N		
SR 121	00 West	Sideswipe	2	0	0	0	N	Y	N		
SW 34TH ST	0	Sideswipe	2	0	0	0	N I	N	N		
SW ARCHER RD	30 North	Sideswipe	2	0	0	0	N	N	Ν		
	0	Sideswipe	2	0	0	0	N	Y	Ν		
SW ARCHER RD	5 North	Sideswipe	2	0	0	0	N	N	Ν		
SW ARCHER RD	0	Sideswipe	3	0	0	0	N	N	Ν		
SW 34TH ST	15 West	Sideswipe	2	0	0	0	N	Ν	Ν		
SW ARCHER RD	50 North	Sideswipe	2	0	0	0	N	N	Ν		
ARCHER RD	10 North	Sideswipe	2	0	0	0	N I	Ν	Ν		
SW ARCHER RD	50 North	Sideswipe	2	0	0	0	N I	N	Ν		
SW ARCHER RD	50 South	Sideswipe	2	0	0	0	N	N	Ν		
SR 24 SW ARCHER RD	55 South	Sideswipe	2	0	0	0	N I	N	Ν		
SR 24 (SW ARCHER RD)	60 North	Sideswipe	2	0	0	0	N	N	Ν		
SW 34TH ST	00 West	Sideswipe	2	0	0	0	N I	N	Ν		
SR 121/ SW 34TH ST	50 East	Sideswipe	2	0	0	0	N	N	Ν		
SR 24 / SW ARCHER RD	0	Sideswipe	2	0	0	0	N	N	Ν		
STATE ROAD 24 SOUTHWEST ARCHER ROAD	0	Angle	2	0	0	0	N	Y	Ν		
SW ARCHER RD	0	Rear End	2	0	0	0	N	N	Ν		
SR 24 SW ARCHER RD	15 South	Rear End	2	0	0	0	N	Y	Ν		٣
s4_crash_csv (+)					÷ •					•	
ADY									<u> </u>	+ 1009	%

#### **MAL FOUR ANALYTICS**



# To Get Access:

- s4.geoplan.ufl.edu -> Request Access
- Contact ilir@ufl.edu

Signal Four Analytics 🛛 🗙		
$\leftrightarrow$ $\rightarrow$ C $\odot$ https://s4.ge	eoplan.ufl.edu	
	44 SIGNAL FOUR ANALYTICS	STURE STARRD
	• Home • <u>Capabilities</u> • <u>About Us</u> • <u>For the Press</u>	Request Access _ og In
	<b>About Signal Four Analytics</b> Florida <i>Signal Four Analytics</i> is an interactive, web-based system designed to support	Live Statistics

# Next:

- GIS Visualization
- Big Data GIS Performance
- Maps in Data Visualization Platforms

# Performance Evaluation of Big-Data GIS systems

 Increase in use of networked, location aware, pervasive computing devices for planning and monitoring the transportation infrastructure has resulted in a huge increase in the size of locationaware datasets.



 New solutions for large-scale processing data try to exploit scalability provided by parallel, distributed computing systems.

- We try to evaluate the effectiveness of these distributed, parallel systems for spatial queries.
- There are two ways in which the performance of traditional GIS systems can be improved.
  - Traditional GIS systems are single threaded, tasks cannot be parallelized.
  - Cannot run on distributed systems.

Bluetooth enabled RSU

# Methodology

- Use both real and simulated data sets and a set of queries typical in the transportation industry on the following tools:
  - Arc GIS
  - Magellan: Open source platform, built on top of Apache Spark.
  - Spatial-Spark
  - GIS Tools for
     Hadoop -by ESRI

Machine Name	CPU Cores	Memory (GB)	I.P. Address	Node Number
freenode-X9DRG-HF	32	132	128.227.170.246	Node 1
spark1	2	4	128.227.176.142	Node 2
firestone	4	16	128.227.176.188	Node 3

Software	Version
Operation System	Ubuntu 16.10 (master)
Java environment	JDK version: 1.7.0_91
Hadoop	Hadoop 2.6.0
Spark	Spark1.6.1
Scala	Scala2.11.7
Magellan	1.0.3-s_2.10
Spatio-Spark	2.10
GIS Tools for Hadoop	2.16.0

# Sample queries, dataset and

# corresponding primitives

Queries

- 1. Query which county or land use zone each crash lies in.
- 2. Query all the bus stops within 100 meters of a crash.

#### Dataset

- Point data: Crash Data & Simulated Point data
  - Crash data: Set of 120 thousand points. Represent crashes in Orange County, Florida.
  - Simulated points data: 500 thousand, 5 million, 50 million and 100 million random points
- Polygon Data: Land use (50 thousand polygons) & Parcels data (500 thousand polygons) for Orange County, Florida
- Other data: NYC Taxi Cab data composed of recorded of Taxi trips in the city from January 2009 to June 2015. It has a total of 1.1 billion records. Used for binning.

**GIS** Primitives

Query 1

- It involves one primitive operation: "contains"
- Given a point and a polygon, it answers whether the point lies within that polygon.

Query 2

- 2 primitives are needed.
- "buffer": It draws a 100 meters buffer around a given point (crash).
- "contain": answer which points, lines or polygons (Bus stops, Bus routes) lie inside the buffer (polygon). Similar to query 1

# **Completion time comparison for small datasets**

#### Query which county each crash lies in (Query 1)

Input	Spatial Spark	Magellan	ArcGIS
18MB (120K Points)	144 s	3.294 s	26.68 s
37MB	282 s	6.464 s	52.72 s
52MB	396 s	9.740 s	78 s
73MB	540 s	13.090 s	104 s
110MB	840 s	20.133 s	157 s
146MB	1080 s	26.049 s	209 s

#### Query all bus stops within 100 meters of a Crash (Query 2)

Input	Spatial	Magellan	ArcGIS
	Spark		
18MB	780 s	NA	138 s
37MB	1,500 s	NA	366 s
52MB	1,920 s	NA	444 s
73MB	2,820 s	NA	648 s
110MB	4,320 s	NA	1,014 s

# **Completion Time for Big Datasets** (ArcGIS vs GIS Tools for Hadoop)

Input	Operation	GIS Tools for Hadoop	ArcGIS
500 Thousand Random Points	Buffer	61 s	49 s
5 million Random Points	Buffer	441 s	518 s
50 million Random Points	Buffer	3,658 s	4,920 s
100 million Random Points	Buffer	7,296 s	13, 218 s
NYC Trip Data (14 Million Points)	Binning	60.45 s	NA
NYC Trip Data (30 Million Points)	Binning	170 s	NA

Note: Query 2  $\rightarrow$  Buffer + Contains.

# **Possible Improvements**

- Primitive 'Contains' (Query 1 → Contains, Query 2 → Buffer + Contains).
- GIS Tools for Hadoop, matches every given point against every polygon.

Input 1	Input 2	Operation	GIS Tools for Hadoop	GIS tools for Hadoop - Custom	ArcGIS
500 Thousand Random Points	Landuse	Contains	15,000 s	3,700 s	250 s
500 Thousand Random Points	Parcels	Contains	47,000 s	15,000 <u>.</u> s	700 s

• Custom code on Hadoop to only try and match points to polygons when they are spatially "near". ~4x gain.

# Discussion

- The performance of the systems evaluated can be significantly better (than traditional systems) for larger data sets. Both *GIS Tools for Hadoop* and *Magellan* outperform *ArcGIS* in some operations.
- But only limited support for spatial operations (*Magellan*) and/or incomplete implementation (*GIS tools for Hadoop*).
- In the future, parallel and distributed computing techniques can lead to significant gains. Both in terms of volume and the speed of Geo-spatial data processing.

# Next:

- Web GIS Visualization Platforms
- Big Data GIS Performance
- Use of Maps in Data Visualization

# Tableau based spatial data visualization

Tableau

- A suite of software (desktop, server & cloud) that allows users to explore and visualize their data.
- Recently (Q1 2017) started adding support for spatial maps and files.
- Specifically: GeoJSON, Map-Info and .shp.
- But no support for spatial queries is natively built in.

# **Static maps**



- From tableau.com

# Interactive maps



Land use parcels for D5, Florida (Courtesy FDOT)

# **Temporal trends with maps**



# Conclusions

- No support for Lines, Multi lines
- No support for joins, can't overlay sets of geometries in a single map.
- The final dataset (Shapefile/Map Info) prepared after preprocessing should be a single flat file.
  - i.e. If different sets of shapes or geometries are to displayed, they should be merged into a single file.

# **Questions/Comments ?**